

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/834,017	04/12/2001	David H. Cox	45784-00052	5254
759	00 11/29/2002		•	. 8
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.		* .	EXAMINER RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
14th Floor 801 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017-5554				
	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	• 7
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	+

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		*	/ - /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group	Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspo	ndence address—
eriod for Reply			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE F THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3	MONTH(S) FROM	THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defeature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	, a reply within the statutory refault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ninimum of thirty (30) days of this from the mailing date of this not become ABANDONED	will be considered timely, s communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
atus O A -		,	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30,2002 (paj	serno 6)	·
This action is FIMAL.			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excacordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>			nerits is closed in
position of Claims			
Claim(s) 1-16  Of the above claim(s) 6-72	is/are pending	$_{-}$ is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s) 6-12	is/are withdrav	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	_ is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-11		is/are rejected.	•
□ Claim(s)			
			restriction or election
			CSUICUOIT OF CICCUOIT
□ Claim(s)plication Papers		requirement	
□ Claim(s)  plication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approve	requirement	
□ Claim(s)  plication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on  □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	is 🗆 approve	requirement	estriction of electron
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved bjected to by the Examination	requirement	estriction of electron
□ Claim(s)  plication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on  □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved bjected to by the Examination	requirement	estriction of electron
□ Claim(s)	is □ approved bjected to by the Examination	requirement	estriction of electron
□ Claim(s)  plication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	is □ approved bjected to by the Examination	requirement d □ disapproved. er	
□ Claim(s)  plication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of is/are of is/are of is/are of is/are of is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner if the oath or declaration is objected to be objected t	is approved approved approved bjected to by the Examination.	requirement d □ disapproved. er	
□ Claim(s)  plication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	is approved approved approved bjected to by the Examinate.	requirement d □ disapproved. er (a)–(d).	
□ Claim(s)	is approved pjected to by the Examinate.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 en received. en received in Application	requirement d □ disapproved. er (a)–(d).	
□ Claim(s)	is approved pjected to by the Examinate.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 pen received.  en received in Application pents have been received.	requirement d	
□ Claim(s)	is approved pjected to by the Examinate its under 35 U.S.C. § 119 en received. The received in Application tents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17).	requirement d	
□ Claim(s)	is approved pjected to by the Examinate.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 pen received.  en received in Application pents have been received.	requirement d	
□ Claim(s)	is approved pjected to by the Examinate ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 en received. Pen received in Application ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17)	requirement d	
□ Claim(s)	is approved pjected to by the Examinate.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 en received. en received in Application tents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17 en No(s).	requirement d	TO-413
□ Claim(s)	is approved pjected to by the Examinate.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 en received. en received in Application ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17 en No(s).	requirement d	FO-413 nt Application, PTO-15

Application/Control Number: 09/834,017

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. An amendment (paper no. 6) has been filed on July 30, 2002.
- 2. It is noted that the applicants have not affirmed the election of group I, claims 1-5 as required in the Office action (paper no. 4).
- 3. Claims under examination now are 1-5 and 13-16.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-5 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schroeder et al (WO 00/64228).

This rejection is incorporated here by reference from prior Office action paper no. 4.

- 6. Rejection of claim 1-5 under 35 USC 102(a0 (Item 7 of same Office action) is now withdrawn.
- 7. Applicant's arguments filed July 30,2002 (paper no. 6) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner disagrees with the applicants in their statement under "Remarks" of above paper that "wood may be measured in "mesh" amount in 20 mesh etc". Mesh is a measurement of the size of a particle. It is not useful to specify the amount in weight or in volume.

Applicants argue that Schroeder teaches wood of mesh size 10-50 at 10-40% which teaching is different from what is claimed. While the claims are different from disclosure of Schroeder, they are not patentably distinguishable. It is within the expertise of one of vary such limitation to obtain the desired final product. Furthermore the applicants have not established the criticality of their claimed limitations.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,017

Art Unit: 1711

- 8. Applicant is advised that should claims 1 and 3 be found allowable, claims 13, 15 and 16 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U.K. Rajguru whose telephone number is 703-308-3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/834,017

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

U. K. Rajguru/mn November 25, 2002

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700